

Havering Council – Decisions taken by the Strategic Planning Committee on Thursday, 13 August 2020

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
----------------	-------	----------

Note: this decision list is for guidance only. The text of the minutes, which may be different, is definitive.

Part A – Items considered in public

A1	PROTOCOL ON THE OPERATION OF STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETINGS DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC RESTRICTIONS	The Committee considered the report and NOTED its contents.
A2	DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS	There were no disclosures of interest.
A3	MINUTES	The minutes of the meetings held on 9 July and 16 July 2020 were agreed as a correct record and would be signed by the Chairman at a later date.
A4	P0094.20 - NEOPOST HOUSE, SOUTH STREET, ROMFORD	<p>The report recommended that planning permission be granted however, following a motion to refuse the granting of planning it was RESOLVED that PLANNING PERMISSION BE REFUSED on the grounds of:</p> <p>1) The proposal includes single aspect units where noise conditions would be unsatisfactory resulting in the need to keep windows/doors closed and limiting the use of balconies. Overall, the proposed private and communal amenity space provision on site is considered to be insufficient in terms of quantity and quality, particularly given the poor accessibility from the site to quality public open space. In these respects, the proposed development is considered to be an overdevelopment of the site, providing poor quality accommodation and resultant poor living conditions for future occupiers. The proposal would be contrary to Policies 3.5 (quality and design of housing developments) and 7.15 (addressing noise) of the London Plan, and the London Plan Housing SPG standard 29, and Policies DC3, DC21, DC55 and DC61 of the Core Strategy and Development Control Policies adopted 2008 and The London Borough of Havering Design for Living Residential Design, Supplementary Planning Document adopted 2010.</p>

Havering Council – Decisions taken by the Strategic Planning Committee on Thursday, 13 August 2020

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		<p>2) For the reasons stated above the proposal is not considered to be sustainable development and the adverse impacts identified outweigh the titled balance in favour of development based on housing delivery as set out in Paragraph 11(d) of the National Planning Policy Framework.</p> <p>The vote for the resolution to grant planning permission was lost by 6 votes to 2.</p> <p>Councillors Dilip Patel, Nisha Patel, Hawthorn, Themistocli, Whitney and Williamson voted against the resolution.</p> <p>Councillors Best and Darvill voted for the resolution.</p> <p>The substantive vote to refuse planning permission was carried by 6 votes to 2.</p> <p>Councillors Dilip Patel, Nisha Patel, Hawthorn, Themistocli, Whitney and Williamson voted for the resolution.</p> <p>Councillors Best and Darvill voted against the resolution.</p>
A1		
A2		